arXiv:1406.2754v3 [math.PR] 17 May 2015

On the structure of a class of distributions obeying the principle of a single big jump *

Hui Xu [1], Michael Scheutzow [2] Yuebao Wang[1]†, Zhaolei Cui [3]

1. School of Mathematical Sciences, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China

2. Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin, 10623 Berlin, Germany

3. Changshu Institute of Technology, Changshu 215500, China

Abstract

In this paper, we present several heavy-tailed distributions belonging to the new class $\mathcal{J}$ of distributions obeying the principle of a single big jump introduced by Beck et al. [1]. We describe the structure of this class from different angles. First, we show that heavy-tailed distributions in the class $\mathcal{J}$ are automatically *strongly heavy-tailed* and thus have tails which are not too irregular. Second, we show that such distributions are not necessarily weakly tail equivalent to a subexponential distribution. We also show that the class of heavy-tailed distributions in $\mathcal{J}$ which are neither long-tailed nor dominatedly-varying-tailed is not only non-empty but even quite rich in the sense that it has a nonempty intersection with several other well-established classes. In addition, the integrated tail distribution of some particular of these distributions shows that the Pakes-Veraverbeke-Embrechts Theorem for the class $\mathcal{J}$ in [1] does not hold trivially.

Keywords: principle of a single big jump; strongly heavy-tailed distribution; weak tail equivalence; integrated tail distribution

1 Introduction

In this paper, all distributions have unbounded support contained in $[0,\infty)$. Recall that a distribution F is called *heavy-tailed*, denoted by $F\in\mathcal{K}$, if for all $\alpha>0$,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{\alpha y}dF(y)=\infty;$$

otherwise, F is called *light-tailed*, denoted by $F\in\mathcal{K}^c$. Recently, Beck et al. introduced the following new distribution class $\mathcal{J}$ in [1].

Let $\{X_i, i\geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with common distribution F. Define the class $\mathcal{J}$ as the set of those distributions F such that for all $n\geq 2$,

$$\lim_{K\to\infty}\liminf \mathbb{P}\big(X_{n,1}>x-K\mid \sum_{i=1}^n X_i>x\big)=1, \tag{1.1}$$

*Research supported by National Science Foundation of China (No.11071182), Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (No.13KJB110025).

†Corresponding author. Telephone: 86 512 67422726. Fax: 86 512 65112637. E-mail: ybwang@suda.edu.cn

where $X_{n,k}$ means the k-th largest random variable in the sequence $\{X_i, 1 \le i \le n\}, 1 \le k \le n$. Here and in the following all unspecified limits are to be understood for $x \to \infty$. Beck et al. [1] show that each of the following two properties are equivalent to (1.1):

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \liminf \mathbb{P}(X_{n,2} \le K \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i > x) = 1, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\lim \mathbb{P}(X_{n,2} > g(x) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i > x) = 0 \text{ for all } g \nearrow \infty,$$

for any (and hence for all) $n \ge 2$.

Note that this definition is a way of stating formally that the distribution of X_1 obeys the *principle of a single big jump* which means that conditional on the sum $X_1 + ... + X_n$ being unusually large, the probability that a single summand dominates the sum is close to one while the conditional law of the second largest summand remains tight (the letter $\mathcal{J}$ stands for *jump*).

It is natural to ask how the class $\mathcal{J}$ is related to well-established classes like $\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ which are respectively called *subexponential*, *dominatedly varying* and *long tailed* (for their definition, see [2]). In addition, the class $\mathcal{OS}$ of *generalized subexponential* distributions first introduced in [5] is of interest. By definition, it consists of those distributions for which

$$C^*(F) := \limsup \overline{F^{*2}}(x)(\overline{F}(x))^{-1} < \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

where F^{*n} denotes the n-fold convolution of F with itself for $n \ge 2$, and $\overline{F} := 1 - F$ denotes the tail of F. Note that the class $\mathcal{S}$ corresponds to the case $C^*(F) = 2$.

The following relations for these classes are known:

$$\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K},\ \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{OS},\ \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{L},\ \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{K} \tag{1.4}$$

(see [2] respectively [1] for relations not involving $\mathcal{J}$ respectively those involving $\mathcal{J}$). It is not true that all distributions in $\mathcal{J}$ are heavy-tailed (see [1]). The recent paper [8] actually shows that the class of light-tailed distributions in $\mathcal{J}$ is considerably larger than the union of the well-known classes $\mathcal{S}(\gamma)$.

In this paper, the object of study is the class $\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{K}$. The list of relations (1.4) says that $\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{K}$ contains $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D}$. We will not only show that this inclusion is proper but that it is even quite large thus suggesting that the class $\mathcal{J}$ cannot be simply characterized via other known classes. On the other hand, we will show first that $\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{K}$ is (strictly) contained in the class of *strongly heavy-tailed* distributions which are characterized by the property that for all $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$\lim e^{\lambda x}\overline{F}(x) = \infty. \tag{1.5}$$

This class was denoted by $\mathcal{K}^*$ in [1] and by $\mathcal{DK}^c$ in [7]. It is clearly contained in the class of heavy-tailed distributions but excludes some members of $\mathcal{K}$ with irregular tails. The following result will be proved in Section 2.

Theorem 1.1. *The following inclusions hold:*

$$\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{OS} \cap \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{DK}^c.$$

Note that the first inclusion is clear from (1.4), so we only need to show the second one. In addition, we will show in Example 2.1 that this inclusion is proper. We remark that Theorem 1.1 shows in particular that condition (iii) $F^I \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{DK}^c$ in Theorem 19 in [1] can be replaced by the equivalent condition $F^I \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{K}$.

Before we state our second result, we define the class $\mathcal{DK}_1$ which was introduced by Wang et al. [7], as the set of all distributions which satisfy

$$\lim x^{\delta} \overline{F}(x) = \infty, \text{ for some } \delta > 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Note that $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{DK}_1 \subset \mathcal{DK}^c$. We will call two distributions F and G *weakly tail equivalent*, denoted by $\overline{F} \approx \overline{G}$, if

$$0 < \liminf \overline{F}(x)(\overline{G}(x))^{-1} \leq \limsup \overline{F}(x)(\overline{G}(x))^{-1} < \infty.$$

Theorem 1.2. *The class $(\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{K}) \setminus (\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{D})$ is non-empty. Moreover, none of its intersections with $\mathcal{DK}_1$ and its complement is empty and each of these two subclasses contains both distributions which are weakly tail equivalent to a distribution in $\mathcal{S}$ and distributions which are not.*

We will provide four corresponding examples in Sections 3 and 4. Note that it does not matter whether or not we replace $\mathcal{L}$ by $\mathcal{S}$ in Theorem 1.2 since $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{L}$ by (1.4).

Finally, we investigate the class $\mathcal{J}$ with respect to integrated tail distributions. In Theorem 19 of [1], the integrated tail distribution of the claim size in the Sparre Andersen risk model is required to belong to the class $\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{K}$, so the question arises whether there exists a distribution F whose integrated tail distribution $F^I \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{K} \setminus \mathcal{S}$? Otherwise, if $F^I \in \mathcal{S}$, then the corresponding result is the known Pakes-Veraverbeke-Embrechts Theorem, see Theorem 16 of [1].

To answer this question, we recall the concepts of an integrated tail distribution and a generalized long-tailed distribution.

For a distribution F, if $0 < \mu := \int_0^\infty \overline{F}(y)dy < \infty$, then the distribution F^I defined by

$$\overline{F^I}(x) = \mu^{-1} \int_x^\infty \overline{F}(y)dy\mathbf{1}(x \geq 0), \ x \in [0, \infty)$$

is called the *integrated tail distribution* of F.

A distribution F is called *generalized long-tailed*, denoted by $F \in \mathcal{OL}$ (see [6]), if for any $t > 0$,

$$C(F, t) := \limsup \overline{F}(x - t)(\overline{F}(x))^{-1} < \infty.$$

The inclusion $\mathcal{OS} \subset \mathcal{OL}$ is well-known.

The following proposition gives a positive answer to the previous question and has important implications concerning Theorem 19 of Beck et al. [1]. At the same time it provides one of the four examples required to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 1.1. *There exists a distribution F such that $F \in \mathcal{DK}^c \setminus \mathcal{OL}$ and $F \notin \mathcal{DK}_1$, thus $F \notin \mathcal{J}$, but $F^I \in (\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{DK}^c) \setminus (\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{D})$, $F^I \notin \mathcal{DK}_1$, and F^I is not weakly tail equivalent to a distribution in $\mathcal{S}$.*

We prove Proposition 1.1 in Section 4.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We prove that the second inclusion in the theorem holds. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{OS}\backslash\mathcal{DK}^c$. Then there exists some $\lambda > 0$ and a sequence of positive numbers $\{x_n, n \geq 1\}$ such that $x_n > 2x_{n-1}$ and

$$\overline{F}(x_n) \leq \exp\{-\lambda x_n\} \tag{2.1}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $F \in \mathcal{OS}$, there exist two constants $2 \leq C^*(F) = \limsup \frac{\overline{F^{*2}}(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} < \infty$ and y_0 large enough such that

$$\overline{F^{*2}(y)} \leq 2C^*(F)\overline{F}(y)$$

for all $y \geq y_0$. Take any $y \geq y_0$, then

$$\overline{F}(y/2) \quad \leq \quad \sqrt{\mathbb{P}(S_2 > y)} \leq \sqrt{2C^*(F)\overline{F}(y)}.$$

Iterating, for any positive integer m, we get

$$\overline{F}(2^{-m}y) \leq (2C^*(F))^{2^{-1}+\cdots+2^{-m}}(\overline{F}(y))^{2^{-m}} \leq 2C^*(F)(\overline{F}(y))^{2^{-m}}, \tag{2.2}$$

as long as $2^{-m+1}y \geq y_0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_1 \geq y_0$. For any $x > x_1$, there exists a positive integer $n =: n(x) \geq 2$ such that $x_{n-1} < x \leq x_n$. Further, there exists a positive integer $m =: m(x)$ such that

$$\max\{x_{n-1}, 2^{-m}x_n\} < x \leq 2^{-m+1}x_n.$$

If $2^{-m}x_n \geq x_{n-1} \geq y_0$, then by (2.2) and (2.1), we have

$$\overline{F}(x) \leq \overline{F}(2^{-m}x_n) \leq 2C^*(F)(\overline{F}(x_n))^{2^{-m}} \leq 2C^*(F)\exp\{-\lambda 2^{-m}x_n\} \leq 2C^*(F)\exp\{-2^{-1}\lambda x\};$$

if $2^{-m}x_n < x_{n-1}$. Thus, by (2.1), we obtain that

$$\overline{F}(x) \leq \overline{F}(x_{n-1}) \leq \exp\{-\lambda x_{n-1}\} \leq \exp\{-2^{-1}\lambda 2^{-m+1}x_n\} \leq \exp\{-2^{-1}\lambda x\},$$

so F is light-tailed and the claim therefore follows. □

The following example, which was introduced by C. M. Goldie (see Example 4.1 of [4]), shows that the inclusion $\mathcal{OS} \cap \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{DK}^c$ is proper.

Example 2.1. *Take* $x_0 = 0,\ x_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{k-2},\ n \geq 2$. *Define a distribution* F *such that*

$$\overline{F}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-n}\mathbf{1}(x \in [x_{n-1}, x_n))$$

for $x \geq 0$. *Then* $F \in \mathcal{DK}^c$, *but* $F \notin \mathcal{OS} \cap \mathcal{K}$.

Proof For n large enough, we have

$$\frac{\overline{F}(x_n - 1)}{\overline{F}(x_n)} = \frac{\overline{F}(2^{-1}x_n)}{\overline{F}(x_n)} \geq n + 1 \to \infty,$$

as $n \to \infty$. Thus $F \notin \mathcal{OL} \supset \mathcal{OS} \cap \mathcal{K}$. But when $x \in [x_{n-1}, x_n)$ and $\delta > 1$, from

$$x^{\delta}\overline{F}(x) \geq x_{n-1}^{\delta}\overline{F}(x_n) \geq (n-1)^{\delta(n-3)}n^{-n} \to \infty$$

as $n \to \infty$, we obtain $F \in \mathcal{DK}_1 \subset \mathcal{DK}^c$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

The first example shows that $(\mathcal{J}\cap\mathcal{K})\backslash(\mathcal{L}\cup\mathcal{D})$ contains distributions which are not in $\mathcal{DK}_1$ and which are weakly tail equivalent to a distribution in $\mathcal{S}$.

Example 3.1. *Assume that $F_1\in\mathcal{S}$ is continuous with all (polynomial) moments finite and let $y_0\geq 0$ and $a>1$ be two constants such that $a\overline{F_1}(y_0)\leq 1$. For example, we can take $\overline{F_1}(x)=e^{-\sqrt{x}},\ x\in[0,\infty)$ and $y_0=(\ln a)^2$. Define the distribution F by*

$$\overline{F}(x) = \overline{F_1}(x)\mathbf{1}(x<x_1)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\Big(\overline{F_1}(x_i)\mathbf{1}(x_i\leq x<y_i)+\overline{F_1}(x)\mathbf{1}(y_i\leq x<x_{i+1})\Big), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\{x_i,i\geq 1\}$ and $\{y_i,i\geq 1\}$ are two sequences of positive constants satisfying $x_i<y_i<x_{i+1}$ and $\overline{F_1}(x_i)=a\overline{F_1}(y_i),\ i\geq 1$. Then $F\in(\mathcal{J}\cap\mathcal{DK}^c)\setminus(\mathcal{L}\cup\mathcal{D})$ and $F\notin\mathcal{DK}_1$. Obviously, $\overline{F}(x)\approx\overline{F_1}(x)$.

Proof It is easy to see that $\overline{F_1}(x)\leq\overline{F}(x)\leq a\overline{F_1}(x)$. Since $F_1\in\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{L}\cap\mathcal{J}$ and using the fact that $\mathcal{J}$ is closed under weak tail equivalence (see Proposition 8 of [1]), we obtain that $F\in\mathcal{J}$. Next it is easy to verify that $F\in\mathcal{K}\setminus\mathcal{DK}_1$ and hence $F\notin\mathcal{D}$, and since $\lim_{n\to\infty}(\overline{F}(y_n))^{-1}\overline{F}(y_n-1)=a$ we get $F\notin\mathcal{L}$. □

Next, we provide an example in $(\mathcal{J}\cap\mathcal{K})\backslash(\mathcal{L}\cup\mathcal{D})$ which is in $\mathcal{DK}_1$ and which is weakly tail equivalent to a distribution in $\mathcal{S}$. To this end, we first construct a distribution F_1 belonging to the class $(\mathcal{S}\cap\mathcal{DK}_1)\setminus\mathcal{D}$ and then show that F defined as in the previous example has the required properties.

Example 3.2. *Choose any constants $\alpha\in(0,1)$, $\beta\in(\alpha,2\alpha)$ and $x_1>2^{\alpha(\beta-\alpha)^{-1}}$. For all integers $n\geq 1$, let $x_{n+1}=x_n^{\beta\alpha^{-1}}$. Clearly, $x_{n+1}>2x_n$ and $x_n\to\infty$ as $n\to\infty$. Now, define the distribution F_1 as follows*

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{F_1}(x) &= (x_1^{-1}(x_1^{-\alpha}-1)x+1)\mathbf{1}(0\leq x<x_1)\\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(x_n^{-\alpha}+(x_n^{-\beta}-x_n^{-\alpha})(x_{n+1}-x_n)^{-1}(x-x_n))\mathbf{1}(x_n\leq x<x_{n+1}),\end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $x\in[0,\infty)$. Then F_1 has an infinite mean and belongs to the class $(\mathcal{S}\cap\mathcal{DK}_1)\setminus\mathcal{D}$.

Further, in the above example, we take $a=2$ and $y_n=2^{-1}(x_{n+1}+x_n)+2^{-1}(x_n^{\beta-\alpha}-1)^{-1}(x_{n+1}-x_n)$ such that $x_n<y_n<x_{n+1}$ and $2\overline{F_1}(y_n)=\overline{F_1}(x_n)$ for all $n\geq 1$. Let F be a distribution such that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{F}(x) &= \overline{F_1}(x)\mathbf{1}(x<x_1)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Big(\overline{F_1}(x_n)\mathbf{1}(x_n\leq x<y_n)\\ &\quad +\overline{F_1}(x)\mathbf{1}(y_n\leq x<x_{n+1})\Big),\ x\in(-\infty,\infty),\end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

then $F\in(\mathcal{J}\cap\mathcal{DK}_1)\setminus(\mathcal{L}\cup\mathcal{D})$ and $\overline{F}(x)\approx\overline{F_1}(x)$.

Proof The distribution F_1 clearly has an infinite mean. It belongs to the class $\mathcal{DK}_1\setminus\mathcal{D}$ due to the following two facts

$$(\overline{F_1}(x_{n+1}))^{-1}\overline{F_1}(2^{-1}x_{n+1})\geq 2^{-1}(1+x_n^{\beta-\alpha})\to\infty,\ n\to\infty,$$

where used the elementary inequality $\frac{a-c}{b-c} \le \frac{a}{b}$ for $b \ge a > 0$ and $c \ge 0$, and for all $x \in [x_n, x_{n+1})$, $n \ge 1$

$$x\overline{F_1}(x) \ge x_n\overline{F_1}(x_n) \sim x_n^{1-\alpha} \to \infty,\ n \to \infty.$$

Next we prove $F_1 \in \mathcal{S}$. By

$$\overline{F_1^{*2}}(x) \ = \ 2\overline{F_1}(x) - \overline{F_1}^2(2^{-1}x) + 2\int_{2^{-1}x}^{x} \overline{F_1}(x-y)F_1(dy),$$

and

$$\liminf_{x\to\infty}(\overline{F_1}(x))^{-1}\overline{F_1^{*2}}(x) = 2,$$

we only need to prove

$$H(x) := (\overline{F_1}(x))^{-1}\int_{2^{-1}x}^{x} \overline{F_1}(x-y)F_1(dy) \to 0,\ x \to \infty.$$

To this end, we estimate $H(x)$ in the two cases $x_n \le x < 2x_n$ and $2x_n \le x < x_{n+1},\ n \ge 1$. When $x \in [x_n, 2x_n)$, then $2^{-1}x \le y \le x$ implies $2^{-1}x_n \le y \le x_n$, $n \ge 1$. Thus by (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) \ &\le \ (\overline{F_1}(2x_n))^{-1}(x_n - x_{n-1})^{-1}x_{n-1}^{-\alpha}\left(\int_0^{x_{n-1}} + \int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_n}\right)\overline{F_1}(y)dy \\ &\le \ (\overline{F_1}(2x_n))^{-1}(x_n - x_{n-1})^{-1}x_{n-1}^{-\alpha}\left(x_{n-1} + \overline{F_1}(x_{n-1})(x_n - x_{n-1})\right) \\ &\sim \ x_n^{-(1-\alpha)(1-\beta^{-1}\alpha)} + x_n^{-\alpha(2\beta^{-1}\alpha-1)} \to 0,\ n \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

When $x \in [2x_n, x_{n+1})$, then $2^{-1}x \le y \le x$ implies $x_n \le y \le x_{n+1}$, $n \ge 1$. Thus by (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) \ &\le \ (\overline{F_1}(x_{n+1}))^{-1}(x_{n+1} - x_n)^{-1}x_n^{-\alpha}\left(\int_0^{x_n} + \int_{x_n}^{2^{-1}x_{n+1}}\right)\overline{F_1}(y)dy \\ &\le \ (\overline{F_1}(x_{n+1}))^{-1}(x_{n+1} - x_n)^{-1}x_n^{-\alpha}\left(x_n + \overline{F_1}(x_n)(2^{-1}x_{n+1} - x_n)\right) \\ &\sim \ x_n^{-(\beta-\alpha)(\alpha^{-1}-1)} + 2^{-1}x_n^{-(2\alpha-\beta)} \to 0,\ n \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By (3.4) and (3.5) we get $F_1 \in \mathcal{S}$.

Now, we prove that $F \in (\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{DK}_1) \setminus (\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{D})$. By (3.3) we easily get

$$\overline{F_1}(x) \le \overline{F}(x) \le 2\overline{F_1}(x), \tag{3.6}$$

that is $\overline{F}(x) \approx \overline{F_1}(x)$. Then by (3.6) and $F_1 \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{J}$ we have $F \in \mathcal{J}$. Next by $F_1 \in \mathcal{DK}_1 \setminus \mathcal{D}$ we immediately get $F \in \mathcal{DK}_1 \setminus \mathcal{D}$. Finally, $F \notin \mathcal{L}$ follows from

$$(\overline{F}(y_n))^{-1}\overline{F}(y_n - 1) = 2,$$

for all $n \ge 1$. □

The following example shows that there exist distributions in $(\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{DK}_1) \setminus (\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{D})$ which are not weakly tail equivalent to a distributon in $\mathcal{S}$.

Example 3.3. *Let $m \geq 1$ be an any integer. Choose any constants $\alpha \in (2+3m^{-1}, \infty)$ and $x_1 > 4^{\alpha}$. For all integers $n \geq 1$, let $x_{n+1} = x_n^{1+\alpha^{-1}}$. Clearly, $x_{n+1} > 4x_n$ and $x_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Now, define the distribution F as follows*

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{F}(x) &= (x_1^{-1}(x_1^{-\alpha}-1)x+1)\mathbf{1}(0 \leq x < x_1) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}((x_n^{-\alpha} + (x_n^{-\alpha-2} - x_n^{-\alpha-1})(x-x_n))\mathbf{1}(x_n \leq x < 2x_n) + x_n^{-\alpha-1}\mathbf{1}(2x_n \leq x < x_{n+1})), \quad (3.7)\end{aligned}$$

where $x \in [0, \infty)$. Further, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\overline{G_m}(x) = (\overline{F}(x))^m := \overline{F}^m(x), \ x \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Then $G_m \in (\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{DK}_1) \setminus (\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{D})$ with finite mean and G_m is not weakly tail equivalent to a distribution in $\mathcal{S}$.

Remark 3.1. *This example shows that there are many such distributions since m, α and x_1 are arbitrary. Further, based on each of the above distributions and using the method of Example 2.1, we can construct new distributions in the class $\mathcal{DK}_1 \setminus (\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{D})$ which are not weakly tail equivalent to a distribution in $\mathcal{S}$.*

Proof According to Proposition 12 b) in [1] and the following Lemma 3.1, we need to prove the above conclusion only for $m = 1$, i.e. $G_1 = F$. By (3.7), it is easy to see that when $x \geq x_1$, then

$$x^{-\alpha-1} \leq \overline{F}(x) \leq 2^{\alpha} x^{-\alpha}. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus $F \in \mathcal{DK}_1$. Moreover, using (3.7) and (3.8), we see that the distribution F has a finite mean which we denote by μ. In fact, we get

$$\int_0^{\infty} y^4 \overline{F}(y) dy < \infty. \quad (3.9)$$

Observe that $F \notin \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{D}$ by (3.7) and the following facts

$$\left(\overline{F}(2x_n)\right)^{-1} \overline{F}(2x_n - 1) \ = \ 2 - x_n^{-1} \to 2, \quad n \to \infty.$$

and

$$\overline{F}(2x_n)(\overline{F}(x_n))^{-1} = x_n^{-1} \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.$$

Next we prove $F \in \mathcal{J}$. For $x > 2K$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & B(x) := P(X_{2,2} \leq K | X_1 + X_2 \geq x) \\ = & \left(\frac{1}{2}\overline{F}^2(\frac{x}{2}) + \int_0^{\frac{x}{2}} \overline{F}(x-y)dF(y)\right)^{-1} \int_0^K \overline{F}(x-y)dF(y) \\ = & \ 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\overline{F}^2(\frac{x}{2}) + \int_0^{\frac{x}{2}} \overline{F}(x-y)dF(y)\right)^{-1} \left(\int_K^{\frac{x}{2}} \overline{F}(x-y)dF(y) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{F}^2(\frac{x}{2})\right) \\ \geq & \ 1 - \left(\int_0^{\frac{x}{2}} \overline{F}(x-y)dF(y)\right)^{-1} \left(\int_K^{\frac{x}{2}} \overline{F}(x-y)dF(y)\right) - \overline{F}^2(\frac{x}{2})\left(2\overline{F}(x)F(\frac{x}{2})\right)^{-1} \\ := & \ 1 - B_1(x) - B_2(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

By (3.8), we have for $x \geq 2x_1$

$$B_2(x) \leq (F(\frac{x}{2}))^{-1} 2^{4\alpha-1} x^{1-\alpha} \to 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Next we estimate $B_1(x)$ in each of the five cases $x_n \leq x < x_n + K$, $x_n + K \leq x < 2x_n$, $2x_n \leq x < 2x_n + K$, $2x_n + K \leq x < 4x_n$ and $4x_n \leq x < x_{n+1}$.

When $x \in [x_n, x_n + K)$, then $K \leq y \leq 2^{-1}x$ implies $2x_{n-1} \leq x - y \leq x_n, n \geq 2$. Thus, by (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(x) &\leq \left(\int_0^K \overline{F}(x-y)dF(y)\right)^{-1} \int_K^{\frac{x}{2}} \overline{F}(x-y)dF(y) \\ &\leq \left(\overline{F}(x_n+K)F(K)\right)^{-1} \overline{F}(K)\overline{F}(2x_{n-1}) \\ &\sim (F(K))^{-1}\overline{F}(K) \to 0, \ K \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

When $x \in [x_n + K, 2x_n), n \geq 2$, by (3.7), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(x) &\leq \left(\int_0^K \overline{F}(x-y)dF(y)\right)^{-1} \left(\int_K^{x-x_n} + \int_{x-x_n}^{\frac{x}{2}}\right)\overline{F}(x-y)dF(y) \\ &:= B_{11}(x) + B_{12}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $x_n \leq x - y \leq 2x_n$ for $K \leq y \leq x - x_n, n \geq 2$, so by (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{11}(x) &\leq \left(\overline{F}(x)F(K)\right)^{-1} \int_K^{x-x_n} (\overline{F}(x) + x_n^{-\alpha-1}y)dF(y) \\ &\leq (F(K))^{-1} \int_K^{\infty} (1+y)dF(y) \to 0, \ K \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Now we deal with $B_{12}(x)$ in the two cases $x_n + K \leq x < \frac{3}{2}x_n$ and $\frac{3}{2}x_n \leq x < 2x_n$. When $x \in [x_n + K, \frac{3}{2}x_n)$, then $2x_{n-1} \leq x - y \leq x_n$ for $x - x_n \leq y \leq \frac{x}{2}, n \geq 2$, so by (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{12}(x) &\leq \left(\overline{F}(\frac{3}{2}x_n)F(K)\right)^{-1} \left(\overline{F}(2x_{n-1})\overline{F}(K)\right) \\ &\sim (F(K))^{-1} 2\overline{F}(K) \to 0, \ K \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

When $x \in [\frac{3}{2}x_n, 2x_n)$, then $2x_{n-1} \leq y \leq x_n, n \geq 2$ and, by (3.7), we get

$$B_{12}(x) = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

When $x \in [2x_n, 2x_n + K)$, if $K \leq y \leq x_n$, then $x_n \leq 2x_n - y \leq 2x_n$; if $x_n \leq y \leq x_n + 2^{-1}K$, then $x_n - 2^{-1}K \leq 2x_n - y \leq x_n, n \geq 2$. Thus, by (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(x) &\leq \left(\overline{F}(x)F(K)\right)^{-1} \left(\int_K^{x_n} + \int_{x_n}^{x_n+\frac{K}{2}}\right) \overline{F}(2x_n - y)dF(y) \\ &\leq (F(K))^{-1} \left(\int_K^{x_n} (1+y)dF(y) + \int_{x_n}^{x_n+\frac{K}{2}} x_n dF(y)\right) \\ &\leq (F(K))^{-1} \left(\int_K^{\infty} (1+y)dF(y) + \int_K^{\infty} ydF(y)\right) \to 0, \quad K \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

When $x \in [2x_n + K, 4x_n)$, if $K \le y \le x - 2x_n$, then $2x_n \le x - y \le 4x_n$; if $x - 2x_n \le y \le 2^{-1}x$, then $x_n \le x - y \le 2x_n, n \ge 2$. Thus, by (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(x) &\le \left(\int_0^K \overline{F}(x-y)dF(y)\right)^{-1} \left(\int_K^{x-2x_n} + \int_{x-2x_n}^{\frac{x}{2}}\right) \overline{F}(x-y)dF(y) \\ &\le \left(\overline{F}(2x_n)F(K)\right)^{-1} \Big(\overline{F}(2x_n)\overline{F}(K) \\ &\quad + \int_{x-2x_n}^{\frac{x}{2}} \left(x_n^{-\alpha} + (x_n^{-\alpha-2} - x_n^{-\alpha-1})(x - x_n - y)\right) dF(y)\Big) \\ &\le (F(K))^{-1} \left(\overline{F}(K) + \int_K^{\infty} (1+y)dF(y)\right) \to 0, \quad K \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

When $x \in [4x_n, x_{n+1})$, if $0 \le y \le 2^{-1}x$, then $2x_n \le x - y \le x_{n+1}, n \ge 2$, so by (3.7), we have

$$B_1(x) \le (F(K))^{-1}\overline{F}(K) \to 0,\ K \to \infty. \tag{3.18}$$

By (3.10)-(3.18), we get $F \in \mathcal{J}$.

Next, we prove that F is not weakly tail equivalent to a distribution in $\mathcal{S}$. To see this, we state the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that the distribution F satisfies*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} C(F,t) = \limsup_{t\to\infty} \limsup \overline{F}(x-t)(\overline{F}(x))^{-1} = \infty. \tag{3.19}$$

Then F is not weakly equivalent to any long-tailed distribution.

Proof We assume there exists a distribution $F_1 \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\overline{F_1}(x) \approx \overline{F}(x)$. Then there are two constants $0 < C_1 \le C_2 < \infty$ such that

$$C_1 = \liminf(\overline{F}(x))^{-1}\overline{F_1}(x) \le \limsup(\overline{F}(x))^{-1}\overline{F_1}(x) = C_2. \tag{3.20}$$

By $F_1 \in \mathcal{L}$ and (3.20) for any $0 < t < \infty$ we have

$$(\overline{F}(x))^{-1}\overline{F}(x-t) \le (C_1\overline{F_1}(x))^{-1}C_2\overline{F_1}(x-t) \sim \ C_1^{-1}C_2. \tag{3.21}$$

Obviously, (3.21) contradicts (3.19). Hence the conclusion of the lemma holds. □

In Example 3.3, we have

$$(\overline{F}(2x_n))^{-1}\overline{F}(2x_n - t) = 1 + t - tx_n^{-1} \to 1 + t, \quad n \to \infty,$$

that is (3.19) holds. Thus, by Lemma 3.1, F is not weakly tail equivalent to a distribution in $\mathcal{S}$.□

The remaining statement of Theorem 1.2 will be proved in the next section.

4 Proof of Proposition 1.1

Next, we provide an example proving Proposition 1.1 and which at the same time shows the remaining claim of Theorem 1.2 that there exists a distribution in $(\mathcal{J}\cap\mathcal{DK}^c)\setminus(\mathcal{L}\cup\mathcal{D})$ which is not in $\mathcal{DK}_1$ and which is not weakly tail equivalent to a distribution in $\mathcal{S}$.

Example 4.1. *Define the distribution F as follows*

$$\overline{F}(x)=8^{-1}\mathbf{1}(0\le x<4)+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(2^{\frac{-n-n^2}{2}}-2^{\frac{-3n-n^2}{2}})\mathbf{1}(2^n\le x<2^{n+1})), \tag{4.1}$$

where $x\in[0,\infty)$. Then $F\in\mathcal{DK}^c\setminus\mathcal{OL}$, thus $F\notin\mathcal{J}$, but $F^I\in(\mathcal{J}\cap\mathcal{DK}^c)\setminus(\mathcal{L}\cup\mathcal{D})$, $F^I\notin\mathcal{DK}_1$, and F^I is not weakly tail equivalent to a distribution in $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof First, it is easy to check that

$$\overline{F}(2^n-1)(\overline{F}(2^n))^{-1}\ \sim\ 2^n\to\infty,\quad n\to\infty,$$

so $F\notin\mathcal{OL}$. Since $\mathcal{J}\subset\mathcal{OL}$, we get $F\notin\mathcal{J}$. It is easy to verify that $F\in\mathcal{DK}^c\setminus\mathcal{DK}_1$. In addition, since

$$\int_0^{\infty}\overline{F}(y)dy=2^{-1}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(2^{\frac{n-n^2}{2}}-2^{\frac{-n-n^2}{2}})=1,$$

the distribution F has a finite mean $\mu=1$. Further, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{F^I}(x)&=(1-\frac{x}{8})\mathbf{1}(0\le x<4)\\ &+\ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(2^{\frac{n-n^2}{2}}+(2^{\frac{-3n-n^2}{2}}-2^{\frac{-n-n^2}{2}})(x-2^n)\right)\mathbf{1}(2^n\le x<2^{n+1}),\ x\in[0,\infty).\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

It is easy to see that $F^I\in\mathcal{DK}^c\setminus\mathcal{DK}_1$ and $F^I\notin\mathcal{L}\cup\mathcal{D}$ by (4.2) and the following facts

$$\overline{F^I}(2^{n+1}-1)(\overline{F^I}(2^{n+1}))^{-1}\ =\ 2+2^{-n}\to 2,\ n\to\infty$$

and

$$\overline{F^I}(2^{n+1})(\overline{F^I}(2^n))^{-1}=2^{-n}\to 0,\ n\to\infty.$$

Next we prove $F^I\in\mathcal{J}$. Let $\{X_i,i\ge1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with common distribution F^I. As before, $X_{n,k}$ denotes the k-th largest random variable in the sequence $\{X_i,1\le i\le n\},1\le k\le n$. For $x>2K>0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&B(x):=\mathbb{P}(X_{2,2}\le K|S_2\ge x)\\ =\ &\left(\frac{1}{2}\overline{F^I}^2(\frac{x}{2})+\int_0^{\frac{x}{2}}\overline{F^I}(x-y)dF^I(y)\right)^{-1}\int_0^K\overline{F^I}(x-y)dF^I(y)\\ =\ &1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\overline{F^I}^2(\frac{x}{2})+\int_0^{\frac{x}{2}}\overline{F^I}(x-y)dF^I(y)\right)^{-1}\left(\int_K^{\frac{x}{2}}\overline{F^I}(x-y)dF^I(y)+\frac{1}{2}\overline{F^I}^2(\frac{x}{2})\right)\\ \ge\ &1-\left(\int_0^{\frac{x}{2}}\overline{F^I}(x-y)dF^I(y)\right)^{-1}\left(\int_K^{\frac{x}{2}}\overline{F^I}(x-y)dF^I(y)\right)-\frac{\overline{F^I}^2(\frac{x}{2})}{2\overline{F^I}(x)F^I(\frac{x}{2})}\\ =:\ &1-B_1(x)-B_2(x).\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

For all $x \in [2^n, 2^{n+1}), n \geq 2$, by (4.2), we have

$$B_2(x) \leq (2\overline{F^I}(2^{n+1})F^I(2^{n-1}))^{-1}\overline{F^I}^2(2^{n-1}) = (F^I(2^{n-1}))^{-1}2^{\frac{7n-6-n^2}{2}} \to 0,\ n \to \infty. \tag{4.4}$$

Now, we estimate $B_1(x)$ in the two cases $2^n \leq x < 2^n + K$ and $2^n + K \leq x < 2^{n+1}$. When $x \in [2^n, 2^n + K), n \geq 2$, by (4.2) and $\int_0^\infty y dF^I(y) < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1(x) &\leq \left(\int_0^K \overline{F^I}(x-y)dF^I(y)\right)^{-1} \int_K^{\frac{x}{2}} \overline{F^I}(x-y)dF^I(y) \\
&\leq \left(\overline{F^I}(x)F^I(K)\right)^{-1} \int_K^{\frac{x}{2}} 2^{\frac{3n-2-n^2}{2}} + (2^{\frac{2-n-n^2}{2}} - 2^{\frac{n-n^2}{2}})(x-K-2^{n-1}-y+K)dF^I(y) \\
&\leq \left(\overline{F^I}(x)F^I(K)\right)^{-1} \int_K^{\frac{x}{2}} \left(\overline{F^I}(x-K) + 2^{\frac{n-n^2}{2}}y\right) dF^I(y) \\
&\leq \left(\overline{F^I}(2^n+K)F^I(K)\right)^{-1} \left(\overline{F^I}(2^n-K)\overline{F^I}(K) + \int_K^{\frac{x}{2}} 2^{\frac{n-n^2}{2}} y dF^I(y)\right) \\
&= O\left(\overline{F^I}(K) + \int_K^{+\infty} y dF^I(y)\right) \to 0, \quad K \to \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

When $x \in [2^n + K, 2^{n+1}), n \geq 2$, by (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1(x) &\leq \left(\int_0^{x-2^n} \overline{F^I}(x-y)dF^I(y)\right)^{-1} \left(\int_K^{x-2^n} + \int_{x-2^n}^{\frac{x}{2}}\right) \overline{F^I}(x-y)dF^I(y) \\
&:= B_{11}(x) + B_{12}(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

By (4.2), (4.6) and $\int_0^\infty y dF^I(y) < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{11}(x) &= \left(\int_0^{x-2^n} \overline{F^I}(x-y)dF^I(y)\right)^{-1} \left(\int_K^{x-2^n} \overline{F^I}(x-y)dF^I(y)\right) \\
&\leq \left(\overline{F^I}(x)F^I(x-2^n)\right)^{-1} \left(\int_K^{x-2^n} \left(\overline{F^I}(x) + 2^{\frac{-n-n^2}{2}}y\right) dF^I(y)\right) \\
&\leq \left(F^I(K)\right)^{-1} \left(\int_K^{x-2^n} (1+y)\, dF^I(y)\right) \to 0, \quad K \to \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Now we deal with $B_{12}(x)$ in the two cases $2^n + K \leq x < 3 \times 2^{n-1}$ and $3 \times 2^{n-1} \leq x < 2^{n+1}$. When $x \in [2^n + K, 3 \times 2^{n-1}), n \geq 2$, by (4.2), (4.6) and $\int_0^\infty y dF^I(y) < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{12}(x) &\leq \left(\overline{F^I}(x)F^I(x-2^n)\right)^{-1} \left(\int_{x-2^n}^{\frac{x}{2}} 2^{\frac{3n-2-n^2}{2}} + (2^{\frac{2-n-n^2}{2}} - 2^{\frac{n-n^2}{2}})(x-2^{n-1}-y)dF^I(y)\right) \\
&\leq \left(\overline{F^I}(3\times 2^{n-1})F^I(K)\right)^{-1} \left(\int_K^{\frac{x}{2}} 2^{\frac{n-n^2}{2}} dF^I(y) + \int_K^{\frac{x}{2}} 2^{\frac{n-n^2}{2}} y dF^I(y)\right) \\
&\leq \left(F^I(K)\right)^{-1} \left(2\overline{F^I}(K) + 2\int_K^{+\infty} y dF^I(y)\right) \to 0, \quad K \to \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

When $x \in [3 \times 2^{n-1}, 2^{n+1}), n \geq 2$, by (4.2) and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{12}(x) &\leq \left(\overline{F^I}(x)F^I(x-2^n)\right)^{-1} \overline{F^I}(2^{-1}x)\overline{F^I}(x-2^n) \\ &\leq \left(\overline{F^I}(2^{n+1})F^I(2^{n-1})\right)^{-1} (\overline{F^I}(2^{n-1}))^2 \to 0, \quad n \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Therefore, by (4.3)-(4.9), we get $F^I \in \mathcal{J}$.
Finally, by Lemma 3.1 and

$$(\overline{F^I}(2^{n+1}))^{-1}\overline{F^I}(2^{n+1}-t) = 1 + t - 2^{-n}t \sim 1 + t, \quad n \to \infty,$$

we see that F^I is not weakly tail equivalent to a distribution in $\mathcal{S}$. □

Remark 4.1. *Observe that the example shows that $F^I \in \mathcal{J}$ does not imply that $F \in \mathcal{J}$. Conversely, $F \in \mathcal{J}$ does not imply $F^I \in \mathcal{J}$ either even if we assume that F has a finite first moment (otherwise F^I is not defined). As an example, we can take the example in Section 3.8 in [3] for which $F \in \mathcal{S}$ and $F^I \notin \mathcal{S}$. Since $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{J}$ we have $F \in \mathcal{J}$. Further, $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}$ and $F \in \mathcal{L}$ implies $F^I \in \mathcal{L}$ by Lemma 2.26 in [3]. Since $\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{S}$ we obtain $F^I \notin \mathcal{J}$.*

Acknowledgement. The authors are very grateful to Sergey Foss (Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh) for helpful discussions and suggestions.

References

[1] S. Beck, J. Blath and M. Scheutzow. *A new class of large claim size distributions: Definition, properties, and ruin theory.* Accepted by Bernoulli, DOI: 10.3150/14-BEJ651.

[2] P. Embrechts, C. Klüppelberg and T. Mikosch. *Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance*. Springer, (1997).

[3] S. Foss, D. Korshunov and S. Zachary. *An Introduction to Heavy-tailed and Subexponential Distributions.* Springer, Second Edition, (2013).

[4] C. Klüppelberg. *On subexponential distributions and integrated tails.* J. Appl. Probab., 25 (1988), 132-141.

[5] C. Klüppelberg. *Asymptotic ordering of distribution functions and convolution semigroups.* Semigroup Forum, 40 (1990), 77-92.

[6] T. Shimura and T. Watanabe. *Infinite divisibility and generalized subexponentiality.* Bernoulli, 11 (2005), 445-469.

[7] Y. Wang, F. Cheng and Y. Yang. *Dominant relations on some subclasses of heavy-tailed distributions and their applications.* Chinese J. Appl. Probab. Statist., 21 (2005) 1, 21-30 (in Chinese).

[8] H. Xu, M. Scheutzow and Y. Wang. *On a transformation between distributions obeying the principle of a single big jump.* Accepted by J. Math. Anal. Appl., DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.05.011.